

Thakur

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 1219 /2017  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 21 MAR 2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2016.**

**(Sub :- Pay Scale As Per G.R.)**

1. Mr. Satyawan A. Chavan & 09 Ors.,  
C/o. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1 The Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy & Fisheries Dept Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.                            | 2 The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.  |
| 3 The Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai.   | 4 The Commissioner, Dairy Development Dept., M.S., Abdul Gafar Khan Road, Worli Sea Face, Worli, Mumbai-18. |
| 5 The General Manager, Greater Bombay Milk Scheme, Worli Dairy, Abdul Gafar Khan Road, Worli Sea Face, Worli, Mumbai-18. | 6 The Regional Dairy Development Officer, New Bombay, Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai.                           |
| 7 The Directorate of Accounts & Treasury, Barrack No. 14, F.P.J. Road, Nariman Point, Mumbai.                            |   |

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.


*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of **March, 2017** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.  
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **20.03.2017.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

  
**Research Officer,**  
**Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,**  
**Mumbai.**



(G.C.P.) J 1900(B) (50,000-1-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-1 B)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./O.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/3/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>            (Vice Chairman)            Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>            Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u>            Advocate for the Applicant            Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u>            C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents            holding Power No. 12 Rajpurhit</p> <p>Adj. To  <u>O.A. is disposed</u>  <u>OK.</u></p> <p><b>TRUE COPY</b></p> <p><i>Musical</i>  <u>21/3/17</u></p> <p>Asst. Registrar/Research Officer            Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal            Mumbai.</p>	<p><u>O.A.595/2016</u></p> <p><b>Mr. S.A. Chavan &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. holding for Mr. N.K. Rajpurhit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This OA seeks alternative reliefs and Mr. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant restricted the claim herein to the alternative relief and deciding the representation of the Applicant dated 15.5.2014. The first alternative relief is not being pressed in this OA now. Liberty is reserved for them to do so, if circumstances so warrant and bring any other appropriate action on the same cause of action.</p> <p>Notice was issued to the learned Advocate General because there was a challenge to a certain Government instrument. Mr. Jagdale told me that in view of the fact that he is restricting his relief only to the alternative prayer, that aspect of the matter no more remains at large. As far as the submission of the learned CPO made on the last occasion that this is a Division Bench matter, I find that in view of the prayer having been restricted to the alternative prayer only if a Single Bench can make an appropriate order.</p> <p>The matter has been kept back for the learned CPO to appear. However, I do not think, I should wait any longer. Mrs. Gaikwad, the learned PO holds for the learned CPO.</p> <p>In view of the foregoing, this OA is disposed of with a direction to the Respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the Applicant dated 15.5.2014 within six weeks from today and communicate the decision to the Applicant within one week thereafter. As already mentioned above, all rights and contentions are kept open. No order as to costs.</p> <p><i>sd/-</i>            (R.B. Malik) 20.3.17            Member (J)            20.03.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>